AGED CARE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE SURVEY







Q1FY20 RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS SUMMARY

RURAL & REMOTE RESIDENTIAL AGED CARE ANALYSIS

The September 2019 quarter Aged Care Financial Performance Survey highlights that the financial sustainability of the residential aged care sector continues to deteriorate in all geographic regions, and unless additional specific targeted funding is implemented it may lead to closure of residential aged care homes and will risk further necessary investment into the sector.

This report specifically analyses the aged care homes located in the Outer Regional, Rural & Remote geographic locations. The geographic designations are based on the Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia (ARIA) which is then extracted from the Department of Health Service Listing for each aged care home.

The accompanying financial analysis for Rural & Remote (including Outer Regional) locations confirm that the current funding model does not meet the costs in providing residential aged care services in the vast majority of homes. Our Survey indicates that the homes that are making a surplus are reliant on additional subsidy funding outside of the general ACFI subsidy and supplements.

Rural & remote homes are characterised as having:

- Smaller size of homes (majority less than 40 beds)
- Potential resident cohort substantially lower (also affects resident acuity mix)
- Difficulty in attracting staff, including skills, experience and qualifications
- Lower accommodation pricing (direct consequence of house/unit prices)
- Distance from major town/city centres
- Many associated costs (eg food, consumables and maintenance materials) are higher



RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS - SUMMARY

- 65% of aged care homes recorded an operating loss for the September quarter (Sep-18: 61%)
- 47% of aged care homes recorded an EBITDAR loss (cash loss) for the September quarter (Sep-18: 40%)
- Average ACFI per bed day (pbd) for Survey participants increased by \$4.86 pbd to \$175.76 pbd
- Occupancy levels for survey participants <u>decreased</u> to 92.1% average occupancy (*Sep-18: 92.7%*)
- Total care hours per resident per day <u>decreased</u> by 0.06 hours to 3.31 hours (*Sep-18: 3.37 hours*)
- ACFI direct care services costs increased by 5.2% (\$7.59 pbd) to \$153.08 pbd (Sep-18: \$145.49 pbd)
- Costs for providing everyday living services <u>exceeded</u> revenue by \$15.02 pbd (Sep-18: \$14.70 pbd exceeded)
- Average Net Loss for aged care homes <u>increased</u> by \$1,391 per bed per annum (pbpa) to a <u>loss</u> of \$3,963 pbpa (Sep-18: \$2,572 loss pbpa)
- Average EBITDAR for aged care homes <u>reduced</u> by \$888 pbpa to \$1,945 pbpa (Sep-18: \$2,833 pbpa)
- Supported ratio increased to 50.7 (Sep-18: 49.8%)
- Average full RADs taken in the September quarter increased to \$365,389 an increase of \$13,216 in the year from Sep-18



RESIDENTIAL CARE - SUMMARY RESULTS BY REGION

Major Cities



S236 ACH Result \$ per bed per annum

\$6,807 ACH EBITDAR \$ per bed per annum

\$183.74 ° Tjs Average ACFI Per bed day

S

(\$))

(%_D

0

ÅÅ

S

83.5% ACFI services costs as % of ACFI

3.30 ŤŤŤŤŤ Direct care hours per resident per day ****

> 46.1% Supported resident ratio

94.0% Average Occupancy

\$396,031 Average Full RAD held

\$428.892 Average Full RAD taken during period

Inner Regional



\$(1,824) ACH Result \$ per bed per annum

\$4,293 ACH EBITDAR \$ per bed per annum

\$174.87 ĥ,s Average ACFI Per bed day



ÊÊ

S

(\$)

84.8% Care labour costs as % of ACFI

3.16 TTTTT Direct care hours per resident per day ***1

48.2% **.**... Supported resident ratio

> 94.2% Average Occupancy

\$292,418 Average RAD held



95 Aged Care Homes

\$

口》

Å.

\\$`}

S

. –

\$(3,963) ACH Result \$ per bed per annum

Rural and Remote

\$1,945 (\$)) ACH EBITDAR \$ per bed per annum

ĥ,s \$175.76 Average ACFI Per bed day

87.1% (%)₀ ACFI services costs as % of ACFI

3.31 TTTTT Direct care hours per resident per day ŤŤŤŤ

> 50.7% Supported resident ratio

92.1% ÅÅ Average Occupancy

\$280,022 Average RAD held

\$365,389 Average Full RAD taken during period



Residential Care Summary Results
by Revenue
Stream (\$ per bed day)

The Care Result which is based on resident acuity and need is an unsustainable **deficit of \$28.31** per resident per day in Rural & Remote locations

Accommodation Result (not related to resident acuity) which needs to be geared for building refurbishment and maintenance is now required to offset the Care loss (meaning recurrent expenditure on buildings will lessen and reduce the overall quality of accommodation over time)

Summary Results (Sep-19 quarter)	Major Cities	Inner Regional	Rural & Remote
	640 Homes	249 Homes	95 Homes
ACFI result	\$30.26	\$26.51	\$22.70
Everyday living result	(\$6.90)	(\$9.96)	(\$15.02)
Administration result	(\$34.25)	(\$34.73)	(\$35.99)
=			
Care Result	(\$10.89)	(\$18.18)	(\$28.31)
+			
Accommodation Result	\$11.58	\$12.89	\$16.55
=			
ACH RESULT (\$pbd)	\$0.68	(\$5.29)	(\$11.76)
ACH RESULT (\$pbpa)	\$236	(\$1,824)	(\$3,963)
ACH EBITDAR (\$pbpa)	\$6,807	\$4,293	\$1,945



RESIDENTIAL CARE - PERCENTAGE OF HOMES MAKING A NPBT LOSS





RESIDENTIAL CARE - PERCENTAGE OF HOMES MAKING AN EBITDAR LOSS





RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS - COMPARISON WITH OTHER REGIONS

Negative Operating Results: Sep-19 and Sep-18

Rural & Remote number of homes with a negative result (loss) increased from 59.8% to 65.3%

Overall number of homes with a negative results (loss) increased in each state except Tasmania year-onyear from Sep-18 to Sep-19







RURAL & REMOTE EBITDAR RESULTS - COMPARISON WITH OTHER REGIONS



Negative EBITDAR Results: Sep-19 and Sep-18

Rural & Remote number of homes with a negative EBITDAR result (loss) increased from 39.1% to 47.4%

Overall number of homes with a negative EBITDA results (loss) increased in each state except Tasmania year-onyear from Sep-18 to Sep-19





RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS ANALYSIS - BY STATE (NSW.ACT)

Rural & Remote comparison by geographic location

The table and graphs compare the results for Rural & Remote homes with Major City and Inner Regional located homes

The average result for Rural & Remote homes in NSW & ACT is a deficit of \$4,738 per bed per annum

NSW.ACT	Occupancy Rate	Supported Ratio	ACH Result (\$pbd)	ACH Result (\$pbpa)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pbd)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pdpa)
Major City	93.8%	45.0%	(\$0.58)	(\$198)	\$19.63	\$6,740
Inner Regional Australia	93.8%	45.2%	(\$8.38)	(\$2,877)	\$10.77	\$3 <i>,</i> 698
Outer Regional, Remote and Very Remote	91.2%	46.3%	(\$14.19)	(\$4,738)	\$6.55	\$2,186
Total	93.7%	45.2%	(\$3.19)	(\$1,094)	\$16.75	\$5,746

% ACHs with Negative Result (NSW.ACT)







RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS ANALYSIS - BY STATE (VICTORIA)

Rural & Remote comparison by geographic location

The table and graphs compare the results for Rural & Remote homes with Major City and Inner Regional located homes

The average result for Rural & Remote homes in Victoria is a deficit of \$2,178 per bed per annum



% ACHs with Negative Result (Victoria)







RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS ANALYSIS - BY STATE (QUEENSLAND)

Rural & Remote comparison by geographic location

The table and graphs compare the results for Rural & Remote homes with Major City and Inner Regional located homes

The average result for Rural & Remote homes in Queensland is a deficit of \$2,204 per bed per annum

QUEENSLAND	Occupancy Rate	Supported Ratio	ACH Result (\$pbd)	ACH Result (\$pbpa)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pbd)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pdpa)
Major City	93.4%	48.9%	(\$2.35)	(\$804)	\$18.64	\$6,370
Inner Regional Australia	93.5%	55.6%	(\$5.28)	(\$1,807)	\$11.65	\$3,986
Outer Regional, Remote and Very Remote	92.1%	57.1%	(\$6.54)	(\$2,204)	\$15.23	\$5,134
Total	93.3%	52.4%	(\$3.60)	(\$1,229)	\$16.44	\$5,610

% ACHs with Negative Result (Queensland)



% ACHs with Negative EBITDAR (Queensland)





RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS ANALYSIS - BY STATE (SOUTH AUSTRALIA)

Rural & Remote comparison by geographic location

The table and graphs compare the results for Rural & Remote homes with Major City and Inner Regional located homes

The average result for Rural & Remote homes in South Australia is a deficit of \$5,635 per bed per annum

SOUTH AUSTRALIA	Occupancy Rate	Supported Ratio	ACH Result (\$pbd)	ACH Result (\$pbpa)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pbd)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pdpa)
Major City	96.4%	48.3%	(\$0.55)	(\$194)	\$16.55	\$5,842
Inner Regional Australia	95.1%	46.6%	(\$3.06)	(\$1,065)	\$14.26	\$4,960
Outer Regional, Remote and Very Remote	94.5%	54.8%	(\$16.29)	(\$5,635)	(\$4.17)	(\$1,441)
Total	96.0%	48.7%	(\$3.22)	(\$1,131)	\$13.12	\$4,610

% ACHs with Negative Result (South Australia)



% ACHs with Negative EBITDAR (South Australia)





RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS ANALYSIS - BY STATE (WESTERN AUSTRALIA)

Rural & Remote comparison by geographic location

The table and graphs compare the results for Rural & Remote homes with Major City and Inner Regional located homes

The average result for Rural & Remote homes in Western Australia is a deficit of \$7,699 per bed per annum



% ACHs with Negative Result (Western Australia)







RURAL & REMOTE RESULTS ANALYSIS - BY STATE (TASMANIA)

Rural & Remote comparison by geographic location

The table and graphs compare the results for Rural & Remote homes with Major City and Inner Regional located homes

The average result for Rural & Remote homes in Tasmania is a deficit of \$3,437 per bed per annum

TASMANIA	Occupancy Rate	Supported Ratio	ACH Result (\$pbd)	ACH Result (\$pbpa)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pbd)	ACH EBITDAR (\$pdpa)
Major City	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Inner Regional Australia	94.8%	50.0%	(\$5.72)	(\$1,983)	\$7.77	\$2,694
Outer Regional, Remote and Very Remote	88.7%	48.4%	(\$10.59)	(\$3,437)	\$2.06	\$670
Total	93.1%	49.6%	(\$6.97)	(\$2,376)	\$6.30	\$2,147

% ACHs with Negative Result (Tasmania)







Contact Details

For further analysis of the information contained in the Survey report please contact our specialist analyst team at StewartBrown.

StewartBrown Aged Care Executive Team



Grant Corderoy Senior Partner - Consulting Division Grant.Corderoy@stewartbrown.com.au Tracy ThomasRoSenior ManagerSer

Analyst and Administration Team

Robert Krebs Senior Analyst

Sabrina Qi Business Analyst **Keiron Brennan** Business Analyst

Shan Wu Business Analyst Queenie Min Zhou Business Analyst

Vicky StimsonRachel CorderoySurvey AdministratorMedia and Marketing

Contact Details benchmark@stewartbrown.com.au Sydney: +61 2 9412 3033 Adelaide: +61 8 8229 2280

Sydney Office Level 2, Tower 1 495 Victoria Avenue Chatswood NSW 2067

Adelaide Office Level 1 104 Frome Street Adelaide SA 5000





Stuart Hutcheon Partner - Audit and Consulting Divisions Stuart.Hutcheon@stewartbrown.com.au





Integrity + Quality + Clarity

David Sinclair Partner - Consulting Division David.Sinclair@stewartbrown.com.au

Steff Kearney Director - Consulting Division Steff.Kearney@stewartbrown.com.au